



**marcha**  
por la Soberanía  
y contra el ALCA

### FTAA and CAFTA Calendar

- 1994—Implementation of NAFTA
- April 20-22, 2001—3<sup>rd</sup> Summit of the Americas, Quebec City, Canada. Deadlines set to complete FTAA negotiations and implementation by 2005.
- October 28-November 2, 2002—7<sup>th</sup> Ministerial Meeting held in Quito, Ecuador.
- March 2003-December 2004—3<sup>rd</sup> Phase ongoing FTAA negotiations, Mexico City.
- September 10-14, 2003—WTO Ministerial meetings collapse in Cancun, Mexico.
- November 2003—FTAA Trade Ministerial in Miami, Florida.
- January 2005—Scheduled deadline to conclude the FTAA negotiations. White House wants to pass FTAA through Congress before elections.

The U.S. uses a divide and conquer strategy of locking countries into NAFTA expansion BEFORE FTAA negotiations are complete. This facilitates the passage of the FTAA and normalizes extensive corporate rights

contained in the agreement. The newest piece of the

## WHAT CAN WE DO

free trade puzzle is the proposed TLC with Central America (CAFTA). The next FTAA Trade Ministerial will take place in Miami, Florida in the fall of 2003.

**WE MUST ACT NOW!!!**

### More Information: for Organizing and Awareness

Stop FTAA [www.stopftaa.org](http://www.stopftaa.org)  
Mexico Comm. against FTAA  
[www.noalca.org](http://www.noalca.org)  
FTAA Official Website [www.ftaa-alca.org](http://www.ftaa-alca.org)  
Z Magazine [www.znet.org](http://www.znet.org)  
Common Dreams [www.commondreams.org](http://www.commondreams.org)  
Public Citizen [www.publiccitizen.org](http://www.publiccitizen.org)  
Global Exchange [www.globalexchange.org](http://www.globalexchange.org)  
Latin American Solidarity Network  
[www.lasolidarity.org](http://www.lasolidarity.org)

### Participate!

To find out more, vote in the People's Referendum on the Free Trade Area of the Americas, or volunteer to make sure your community's voices are heard, visit our website, or come join us on the 1<sup>st</sup> Wednesday of every month at 7pm!



NYC People's Referendum  
130 W. 29<sup>th</sup> Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor  
NY, NY 10001  
(212) 760-0333  
[www.FTAAreferendum.org](http://www.FTAAreferendum.org)

# Women Say NO! To The FTAA and CAFTA

---

community and media outreach, congressional concerns, and to plan town hall meetings in each borough to educate ourselves and the public about the effects of free trade.



*Fracasó el OMC, según su portavoz: "el único consenso hasta este momento es que el texto presentado no es aceptado por nadie."*

## Mission Statement:

The New York City People's Referendum against the Free Trade Area of the Americas (FTAA) is a grassroots coalition of organizations and individuals that is part of the national and hemisphere-wide Global Justice and Fair Trade movement to stop so-called "Free Trade" agreements like the Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA) and the FTAA. These agreements are now being negotiated in secret by governments and multinational corporations. Our aim is to reclaim democratic control over this decision-making process by raising awareness, organizing concerned people, and showing elected leaders—through a referendum—just how many people are against these pro-corporate, anti-labor, anti-environment, anti-democratic agreements.

## NYC Referendum:

In order to coordinate this city-wide referendum on the FTAA and CAFTA, we meet regularly to strategize

## 10 REASONS WHY WOMEN ARE SAYING NO TO THE FTAA AND CAFTA

### 1. *Promotion of the privatization of public goods and services.*

Women will assume the costs of such services to ensure healthcare, education and food for their families and themselves, doubling their workload in and out of the home.

### 2. *Disenfranchisement of women.*

It is a lie that the fair trade agreements create jobs for women. The policy of decentralization and the closing of small and medium-sized businesses due to unfair competition from multinationals leads to the displacement of women from the formal labor market and forces them to join the informal job market without any type of labor protection.

### 3. *Employment without regard to labor legislation.*

The fair trade agreements consolidate a plan of sweatshop expansion, which views women as cheap labor and fails to guarantee decent working conditions. An example of this is the case of the NEWTEX, TAINAM, LAITEX corporation.

### 4. *Obstacle to immigration.*

The fair trade agreements are concerned only with the free movement of goods and property, not of people. They obstruct the ability of female workers to seek employment in other countries.

### 5. *Destruction of local agricultural economies.*

The primacy of agribusiness production, in which multinationals produce food for profit, leads to the destruction of the small production units which supply

domestic markets and in which women are overrepresented.

### 6. *Destruction of the environment and biodiversity.*

Any national regulation aimed at preserving the environment will not be honored if it constitutes a barrier to trade and investment. Corporations will have the right to sue nations in international tribunals if environmental protection measures would reduce their profits.

### 7. *Institutionalization of violence and militarization of society.*

To safeguard the interests of the multinationals, nations resort to mechanisms of repression and violence against their citizens. Those greatest affected are women: as women, wives, life companions, daughters.

### 8. *Worsening poverty and inequality.*

## IMPACT ON EMPLOYMENT OF THE "FREE TRADE" AGREEMENTS

The fair trade agreements favor the exclusive enrichment of multinational corporations and the economic elite of a country, worsening the profound economic and social inequalities that exist.

At the global level, "free trade," as it is inaptly called, has in the last 20 years become the justification for governments to unleash economic policies and measures which have increased the concentration of wealth in a few hands and generated the highest poverty levels.

World Bank figures show that in the year 2001, 1.2 billion people subsisted on less than \$1 per day; that more than 113 million children did not attend school, the majority of them being girls. Moreover, more than

half a million women die each year during pregnancy or labor.

This overview should motivate us to reflect much more deeply on the purported benefits of neoliberalism for poor countries, and to question the impacts of the fair trade agreements on the people. An example of this is the North American Fair Trade Agreement (NAFTA) signed by Mexico, the United States and Canada (known by the acronym TLCAN in Spanish). Its impacts in the eight years since its enactment are analyzed below.

In Mexico, the official propaganda promised that with NAFTA or TLCAN there would be "more and better jobs." However, statistics show that unemployment has risen, as the model not only fails to create sufficient jobs to absorb the existing workforce, but eliminates jobs due to the closing of businesses.

The jobs created are tenuous, however: there is scarce inclusion of the female working population in social security; nearly two-thirds of workers have no credit benefits; a high percentage of workers earns less than the minimum wage; and real wages are plummeting (NAFTA: objectives and results 7 years after. Alberto Arroyo Picard, 2001).

In the textile industry, there has been an increase in productivity; however, this has not translated into improved wages. Production goals imposed are met, but workers do not receive fair compensation for a day's work or a wage adjusted to the cost of living. Moreover, real average wages fell by 12% and labor costs fell by 33.2%.

In the agricultural sector, the official propaganda promised U.S. ranchers and farmers that NAFTA would open new export markets for them and create the bases for a permanent solution in this sector.

However, a survey conducted by Public Citizen in June 2001 shows that NAFTA has caused a reduction in profits for small farmers, as prices have fallen due to the inundation of agricultural products in their respective countries without a concomitant reduction

in prices for consumers. It has also led to the destruction of agricultural farms in the United States. In Mexico, small producers have migrated to the cities or to the north.

In agroindustry, multinational corporations moved their plants to Mexico to take advantage of the \$3.60 a day minimum wage, and to exploit the use of pesticides prohibited in the United States.

In summary, agricultural workers are exposed to miserable working conditions due to the lack of political will on the part of the Mexico Government to enforce current labor legislation.